

**L E Starke Primary School**  
**Pekin PSD 108**  
**Pekin, ILLINOIS**



**ILLINOIS**  
**SCHOOL**  
**REPORT**  
**CARD**

**GRADES : K 1 2 3**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAT with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.

**STUDENTS**

**RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION**

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
<b>School</b>	93.8	0.4	0.9	0.4	2.7	1.8	50.4	0.0		0.0	20.4	94.4	224
<b>District</b>	94.2	0.5	1.7	0.7	1.0	1.9	48.7	0.3		0.2	16.4	94.4	3,424
<b>State</b>	54.0	19.2	19.9	3.9	0.2	2.7	41.1	7.5		2.5	14.9	93.3	2,074,167

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

**PARENTAL CONTACT\***

	Percent
<b>School</b>	100.0
<b>District</b>	100.0
<b>State</b>	96.8

**STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS**

	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
<b>School</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>District</b>	19.1		13.1	184.1
<b>State</b>	18.3		13.5	211.6

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

**AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)**

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
<b>School</b>	26.5	25.7	24.5	24.5						
<b>District</b>	23.1	22.9	20.9	21.5						
<b>State</b>	20.5	21.0	21.1	21.7						

**TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)**

Grades	Mathematics			Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
<b>School</b>	60			30			120			30		
<b>District</b>	60			30			120			30		
<b>State</b>	58			30			145			30		


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	88.5	234
State	84.9	8.7	4.9	1.3	0.2	22.9	77.1	131,488

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	14.0	50.1	49.9	0.0	0.0
State	12.4	46.7	53.2	0.7	0.7

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

### TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

### EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2006-07 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2006-07				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2006-07			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$15,060,972	45.2	57.6	Education	\$24,377,383	77.7	72.6
Other Local Funding	\$2,236,977	6.7	7.3	Operations & Maintenance	\$2,938,761	9.4	8.5
General State Aid	\$8,972,949	26.9	18.1	Transportation	\$1,623,082	5.2	3.9
Other State Funding	\$3,913,402	11.7	9.7	Bond and Interest	\$302,660	1.0	6.7
Federal Funding	\$3,163,520	9.5	7.3	Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	\$33,347,820			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$484,653	1.5	1.8
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$1,641,385	5.2	0.9
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	5.6
				TOTAL	\$31,367,924		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2005 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2005 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2006-07 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2006-07 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$121,843	2.70	\$4,576	\$7,697
State	**	**	\$5,808	\$9,907

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

**Equalized assessed valuation** includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

**Total school tax rate** is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

**Instructional expenditure per pupil** includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.


**Operating expenditure per pupil** includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE


### OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.




**OVERALL ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT) PERFORMANCE**



**ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.

**PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	42	29	13	38				3	1			8	16
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0									0.0 0.0
District	*Enrollment	2,289	1,171	1,118	2,167	8	39	19	21	35	7		411	1,039
	Reading Mathematics	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.9 2.9			0.5 0.5	0.4 0.4
State	*Enrollment	1,080,912	552,428	528,334	584,551	209,802	211,723	42,677	1,747	28,936	71,592	349	153,444	459,352
	Reading Mathematics	0.3 0.3	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.6 0.6	0.3 0.3	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.5 0.5	0.3 0.3

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment													
	Science													
District	*Enrollment													
	Science													
State	*Enrollment													
	Science													

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

**Grade 3****Grade 3 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	2.4	14.3	57.1	26.2	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6
District	2.2	12.6	58.2	27.0	0.8	6.6	35.6	57.0
State	6.8	21.5	47.6	24.2	3.5	11.4	44.1	41.0

**Grade 3 - Gender**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male								
School	3.4	17.2	48.3	31.0	0.0	0.0	24.1	75.9
District	2.2	15.0	58.3	24.4	0.0	4.4	35.6	60.0
State	8.5	23.8	46.4	21.3	4.0	11.2	42.3	42.5
Female								
School	0.0	7.7	76.9	15.4	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6
District	2.2	10.2	58.1	29.6	1.6	8.6	35.7	54.1
State	4.9	19.1	48.8	27.2	2.9	11.6	45.9	39.5

**Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White								
School	2.6	13.2	57.9	26.3	0.0	0.0	21.1	78.9
District	2.3	12.0	58.5	27.2	0.6	6.6	35.3	57.5
State	3.1	13.4	49.6	33.9	1.0	5.4	39.1	54.5
Black								
School								
District								
State	12.6	32.2	44.4	10.9	9.4	22.3	49.4	18.9
Hispanic								
School								
District								
State	11.4	33.5	45.4	9.8	4.6	17.4	53.5	24.6
Asian/Pacific Islander								
School								
District								
State	2.0	10.8	46.8	40.4	0.6	3.5	28.9	67.0
Native American								
School								
District								
State	5.5	18.9	54.2	21.4	1.7	6.3	43.9	48.1
Multiracial/Ethnic								
School								
District								
State	5.2	19.4	49.9	25.5	2.1	10.2	47.2	40.5

**Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
School	6.3	18.8	56.3	18.8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5
District	4.0	18.4	56.9	20.7	1.7	9.2	43.1	46.0
State	11.4	31.8	46.1	10.7	6.2	18.6	51.3	23.9
Not Eligible								
School	0.0	11.5	57.7	30.8	0.0	0.0	11.5	88.5
District	0.5	7.3	59.4	32.8	0.0	4.2	28.8	67.0
State	2.7	12.4	48.9	36.0	1.0	5.0	37.7	56.3

## 2008 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2008-09 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2008-09 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
<b>State AYP Minimum Target</b>	95.0		95.0		62.5			62.5			90.0		75.0	
<b>All</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	85.7		Yes	100.0		Yes	94.4	Yes		
<b>White</b>														
<b>Black</b>														
<b>Hispanic</b>														
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>														
<b>Native American</b>														
<b>Multiracial /Ethnic</b>														
<b>LEP</b>														
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>														
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>														

**Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):**

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 75% graduation rate for high schools.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2007.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 62.5% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

---

## PLANNED IMPROVEMENT FOR THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT

As shown by the ISAT results, L.E. Starke Primary has continued to be successful in both Math and Reading with both scores being significantly above the state average. Two of the last three years, Starke's third graders have scored 100% in meeting or exceeding in Math.

L.E. Starke Primary participates in an annual school-wide improvement planning process (SWIP). The SWIP process requires the use of data as the basis of discovering targeted goals for improvement.

The data sources used to inform L.E. Starke Primary School's Improvement Plan include:

- 1) Instruments Used will be:
  - Use of Routman Materials
  - Pre-Post Surveys
  - Measure Knowledge tool
  - Checklist of Strategy Use
  - Reflective Meetings
- 2) Administration of the Instruments will be by:
  - Pre and Post Survey
  - Monthly Reflections
  - Checklists
  - Observations

The annual SWIP process incorporates processes and tools that involve the entire building in selecting research-based strategies to address improvement goals.

The following goal was selected for this school year:

- If we learn and apply strategies from Regie Routman's Writing for Audience and Purpose resources, then 100% of our teachers will become more knowledgeable about writing instruction. This is the first year our third graders will be writing for ISAT since 2004 and we are looking forward to finding out their strengths and opportunities for improvement.